

## Assessing the Accuracy of Computer ECG Interpretation for Acute Myocardial Infarction

Amy Kule MD, Stephanie Hang, Kelly N Sawyer MD, Alfred Burris II MD, Justin Trivax MD, Robert A Swor DO

William Beaumont Hospital Royal Oak, MI

Oakland University William Beaumont  
School of Medicine



---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

## Disclosures

Amy Kule MD

No Disclosures

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

## Introduction

- Quality Benchmark for STEMI: Door to Balloon (D2B) time < 90 min
  - Emphasis on ECG within 10 min of contact
  - Early cardiac catheterization lab (CCL) activation by EM providers & Pre-Hospital providers
  - Use of Computer-interpretation ECG (CI-ECG)

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

### What is an Acute MI?

- Thygesen et al “Universal Definition of Myocardial Infarction”
  - Elevated markers with symptoms and ECG changes c/w new ischemia
  - Sudden death with symptoms and ECG changes c/w new ischemia
  - Necrosis on pathology report

Thygesen et al European Heart Journal (2007) 28, 2525–2538

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

### Interpretation Agreement

- STEMI – surrogate for AMI
- Prior studies:
  - Accuracy of STEMI identification by Computer, Cardiologists, Emergency Physicians, Paramedics
  - Variability among all

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

### Interpretation Agreement

#### Recognition of ST elevation by paramedics

M Whitbread, V Leah, T Bell, T J Coats

*Emerg Med J* 2002;19:66–67

#### Automated Electrocardiogram Interpretation Programs Versus Cardiologists' Triage Decision Making Based on Teletransmitted Data in Patients With Suspected Acute Coronary Syndrome

Elaine N. Clark, MA<sup>1\*</sup>, Maria Sejersten, MD<sup>2</sup>, Peter Clemmensen, MD, DMSc<sup>3</sup>, and Peter W. Macfarlane, DSc<sup>4</sup>

*Am J Cardiol* 2010;106:1696–1702

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

### Computer EKG Interpretation

- Used in many systems for field triage of MI
- Facilitates early activation of CCL
- Probably more reproducible than physicians

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

### Objective

The objective of this study was to evaluate the performance characteristics of CI-ECG for coronary artery occlusion using angiography as the gold standard.

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

### Methods

#### Study Population, Inclusions

- Single large, academic community ED
- January 2006 to February 2011
- Adult patients
  - Presented by EMS for evaluation of chest pain
  - Pre-hospital CI-ECG available
  - All admitted to CCL with high suspicion for AMI

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

### Demographics

- Age, Gender
- Time to ECG, Reperfusion
- Cardiac enzymes

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

### Pre-Hospital ECG

- Single Device type
  - Medtronic Physio Control
- Pre-hospital ECGs dichotomized
  - CI-ECG + (“AMI suspected”)
  - CI-ECG –
- Approved by Institution IRB

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

### Methods – Outcome

- Primary outcome of “definite AMI”
  - Angiography showing 100% occlusion
  - Thrombus in Culprit vessel
- Reviewed by independent Cardiologist

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

### Statistics for CI-ECG

- Sensitivity
- Specificity
- Likelihood ratios

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

### Results

- 173 Patients from CCL Registry with Pre-Hospital CI-ECG
- Overall cohort characteristics
  - 54% male
  - Mean age 65.7 (range 35-94)
  - Mean time from ECG to reperfusion 81.8 min +/- 25.5

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

### ECG and Catheterization Results

	Definite AMI	No Definite AMI	Total (n=173)
CI-ECG +	73	36	109
CI-ECG -	43	21	64
Total (n=173)	116	57	173

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

### Performance Characteristics of CI-ECG for AMI Diagnosis

Performance Characteristics	Value (95% CI)
Sensitivity (%)	62.9 (53.4, 71.6)
Specificity (%)	36.8 (24.8, 50.7)
Likelihood Ratio +	0.99 (0.78, 1.27)
Likelihood Ratio -	1.01 (0.75, 1.35)

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

### Impact of Gender on Prediction of Coronary Artery Occlusion

	N	LR +	95% CI
Male	94	1.06	(0.77, 1.46)
Female	79	0.91	(0.63, 1.32)

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

### Computer Interpretation ECG

- Does not predict coronary artery occlusion well
- Lack of evaluation of other methods of MI diagnosis

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

### Limitations

- Single center
- Single ECG device and algorithm
- Single cardiologist interpretation, not blinded
- No access to clinical information

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

### Future Endeavors

- False positive and false negative ECG morphologies may reveal patterns over-read or under-read by the computer
- Certain patient demographics might be associated with specific ECG findings, thus over-read by the computer
- Consider HPI in the decision to activate CCL and influence on results
- Conflicting interpretations between EMS and ED CI-ECGs due to differing computer algorithms

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

### Conclusion

Computer interpretation of ECGs poorly identifies coronary artery occlusion.

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

Questions?



Thank you!

Amy.Kule@beaumont.edu  
Oakland University William Beaumont  
Medicine

School of

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---